MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

THIRD DAY

Thursday, 13th June 1957.

The House met in the Assembly Hall, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore, at Thirt– Minutes past Eleven of the Clock.

MR. SPEAKER (SRI S. R. KANTHI, B.A., LL.B.) in the Chair.

MEMBER SWORN.

SRI K. P. GADAG (Gadag).

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—I beg to submit, Sir, yesterday, because it was only three minutes to One and I had to go to the other House I went away and was not present here when question No. 262 was taken up later. I am glad to inform that the Chairman has agreed for the Council meeting to be held at 1-30 P.M. every day, and so, there is no difficulty in our being present here during the question hour.

N.E.S. Block for Hadagalli.

Q.—262. Sri M. M. PATIL (Hadagalli).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the reasons for failure to allot a N.E.S. Block for Hadagalli comprising a large number of villages of rehabilitated people, although a suggestion to the above effect had been made by the Taluk Advisory Committee and other societies ;

(*b*) whether they would give priority to Hadagalli Taluk ?

A.—Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) All the twenty Blocks allotted to the State by the Government of India for being started from 1st April 1957, have been utilised for the delimitation of the boundaries of the existing Blocks. Hence, no new blocks could be started on 1st April 1957.

(*b*) The next allotment of Blocks will be from 2nd October 1957, and the suggestion to allot a Block to Hadagalli Taluk will be kept in view along with others.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಆ ರೀತಿ ಗಡಿನಿರ್ಣಯ ಸಮಿತಿಯಿಂದಾಗಿ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಬ್ಲಾಕುಗಳು ಹಂಚಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿವೆ ಯೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಅವು ಯಾವಯಾವುವು ಎಂಬು ದನ್ನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

*ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಪಟ್ಟಿ ಬಹಳ ದೊಡ್ಡದು. ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಈ ರೀತಿ ಬ್ಲಾಕುಗಳನ್ನು ಹಂಚುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ನಿಯಮವನ್ನನುಸರಿಸಿ ಹಂಚಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಪಕ್ಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವಯಾವ ಬ್ಲಾಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ನಡೆದಿದೆ, ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರು ಸಾಕಾ ದಷ್ಟು ಜನರು ಇದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ, ಪ್ರದೇಶ ಹಿಂದುಳಿದಿದೆ ಯೇ ಅಥವಾ ಸುಧಾರಿಸಿದ್ದಾಗಿದೆಯೇ ಎಂಬದ ನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಹಂಚಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ತುಂಗಭದ್ರಾ ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟ್ ಕೆಳಗೆ ವಲಸೆ ಬಂದು ನೆಲೆಸಿರತಕ್ಕವರು ಹಿಂದುಳಿದಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ಭಾವಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಹೌದು, ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಬಹಳ ಹಿಂದುಳಿದ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳಿವೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದಲೇ ಒಂದೊಂದು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಳಗಡೆ ಇರುವ ಬ್ಲಾಕುಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು develop ಮಾಡುವು ದರಲ್ಲಿ ಅನಾನುಕೂಲತೆಗಳೇನಿವೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಡಿ-ಲಿಮಿಟೇಷ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಪುನಃ ಆಲೋಚನೆಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ನಾನೇ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಖುದ್ದಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Next question (Q. No. 64).

---

* Asterisk indicates that the remarks or speeches have not been revised by the Members concerned.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—On a point of information, Sir. Though itwas brought to the notice of the Chair yesterday that answers to questions should be provided to members, today, when I came here at 10-30, answers were not provided. We were provided with answers only at 11 o'clock. Within a time of half an hour, it would not be possible for us to get prepared with supplementaries. It is better that answers are provided the previous evening at least.

Mr. SPEAKER.— Of course, that difficulty has arisen. In fact, I did not get replies yesterday as per the usual practice. When the list was put in the morning, I found the list of reply was missing. I phoned to the Secretary and he got the list. The difficulty has arisen in view of the fact that the list is not printed in time. I will see that the list is printed and distributed in time.

Regarding the other point raised by the Hon'ble Member that the list should be supplied one day previous, it cannot be done because that is not the practice; it cannot be allowed.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—At least two hours prior to the time of meeting.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That could be done.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Sir, I want some clarification from the Chair. Some portions of questions that were sent by one of us have been disallowed. We do not know on what particular ground a part of the question is disallowed. Rules 26 and 28 lay down certain conditions regarding questions. In so far as I can see, one or two questions appear to me to fall with these two rules and yet they have been disallowed. May I know how to correct this state of affairs? I am only asking this clarification so that we may frame the questions properly hereafter.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—I rise to a point of order, Sir. The point of order is, whether discussion on any matter could arise when we are going ahead with questions and answers in the House. If there is any apprehension or grievance or any representation to be made to the Chair, the usual practice hitherto is, to do it only after the question hour is over. But, my Hon'ble friend goes to say that a portion of his questions has been disallowed and he is asking for a clarification from the Chair. I feel it does not arise at this stage and I want a ruling on this point.

Mr. SPEAKER.—In fact it is a reply on my behalf; it is not a point of order. I find that Hon'ble Member Sri Narasimha Reddy has replied on my behalf and I am thankful to him.

The point is, whenever a question is tabled, it is examined by the office and I also examine it. If anything is done, it is done under my instruction and according to rules. If the Hon'ble Member wants any clarification in respect of any particular question, I will look into it.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟರಾಮಯ್ಯ —ಇದುವರೆಗೂ ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ಪದ್ಧತಿಯೇನೆಂದರೆ ಹಿಂದಿನ ದಿವಸದ ಸಾಯಂಕಾಲವೇ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೋತ್ತರಗಳು ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ತಲಪಿಸಲ್ಪಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದವು. ಇದರಿಂದಾಗಿ ಸದಸ್ಯರುಗಳು ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು ಮೊದಲೇ ನೋಡಿ ಕೊಂಡು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಮಾತನಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಆದರೆ, ಈಗ ತಾವು ಹೇಳಿದ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಭೆ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಎರಡು ಘಂಟೆ ಮುಂಗಡವಾಗಿ ಮಾತ್ರ ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆಂದರೆ, ಸದಸ್ಯರುಗಳು ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ನೋಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ದಿನವೂ 10 ಘಂಟೆಗೆ ಊಟವನ್ನೂ ಮಾಡದೆ ಬರಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಒಂದು ದೊಡ್ಡ ಶಿಕ್ಷೆಯನ್ನು ವಿಧಿಸಿದಂತಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಒಂದುವೇಳೆ 10 ಘಂಟೆಗೇ ಊಟ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದರೆ, ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಆರು ಗಂಟೆಯವರೆಗೆ ಇರುವುದಾದರೂ ಹೇಗೆ? ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಎಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವೋ ಅಷ್ಟನ್ನು ಅಚ್ಚುಹಾಕಿಸಿ ಹಿಂದಿನ ದಿವಸವೇ ಸದಸ್ಯರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಒದಗಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಏರ್ಪಾಡುಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ನಮ್ಮ ಊಟದ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಗೂ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಅಷ್ಟನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಾನು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಸದಸ್ಯರು ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಏನೆಂದರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರೇನೋ ಹಿಂದಿನ ದಿವಸವೇ ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಅವನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಆಫೀಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಒಟ್ಟುಗೂಡಿಸಿ ಅಚ್ಚುಹಾಕಲು ಕಳುಹಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಒಂದೊಂದಾಗಿ ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕವಾಗಿ ಅಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಬರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಇದರಿಂದ ತಮಗೆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಮತ್ತು ಉತ್ತರಗಳ ಕಾಪಿಗಳು ಸೇರಲು ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ತಡವಾಗಿರಬಹುದು. ಇನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ ಆ ರೀತಿ ಆಗದಂತೆ ನೋಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಮೀಟಿಂಗಿಗೆ ಎರಡು ಘಂಟೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ಬರುವುದರಿಂದ ಊಟಕ್ಕೆ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಕೆಲವರು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಪಟ್ಟರು. ನಾನೇನು ಮಾಡಬೇಕು? ರೂಲ್ಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಎರಡು ಘಂಟೆಗಳ ಮುಂಚಿತವಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಮತ್ತು ಉತ್ತರಗಳ ಕಾಪಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದಿದೆ. ತಾವೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಒಪ್ಪುವುದಾದರೆ ನಾವು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರುವ ವೇಳೆಯನ್ನು ಬದಲಾಯಿಸಬಹುದು. ತಾವು ಊಟದ

ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಮುಗಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರಬಹುದು. ಅದರಿಂದ ನನಗೇನೂ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ರೂಲ್ಸನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಏನೂ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟರಾಮಯ್ಯ...—ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The rules are binding on me. That is the trouble. I do not want to deprive the Hon'ble Members of a good meal. I would never do that. But the difficulty is that under the rules I cannot do it.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Sir, why should we not meet daily at 2 o'clock as in Bombay ? That would be better, because then the Ministers can attend to their work up to 12 o'clock. What happens now is that if we commence the sitting at 12 o'clock, the routine work cannot go on as usual. So it would be better if the session commences daily at 2 o'clock and closes at 7 o'clock. That is the practice followed in Bombay and even at the Centre. If we meet at 12 o'clock, then it would be very difficult for members to take food earlier, because some are accustomed to take food at 12-30 or 1 P.M. So it would be more convenient if we meet from 2 to 7 as is the practice in Bombay.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have no objection to meet at 2 o'clock, but this question has to be decided by the whole House.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—What is the view of Government ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Whatever time is convenient to the House is convenient to Government. I have no objection to 2 o'clock being fixed as the commencing time.

Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY.—Sir, this is question hour. The discussion now can relate only to the procedure being adopted with regard to questions. If any member has any suggestion to make with regard to the general procedure of the House, he can make it only after the question hour is over. It is not desirable to waste the precious time that is allotted to questions and it is not also in order. Therefore I would request the Chair not to allow members to debate on this point.

Mr. SPEAKER.—We shall devote the full time allotted for questions. Now that this point has been raised, it would be better if it is settled. The question hour will begin after this point is decided. So far as I am concerned, I have no objection to meet at 2 o'clock. If that is the desire of the members we can do that. Let all the important members sit together and decide on this point. As far as I am concerned, I would like to sit from 2 to 7, because it is convenient not only to me but also to the Treasury Benches, as in that case we can attend to our work from 10-30 A.M. to 2 P.M. Of course, the whole House has to decide. The members can take their own time and tell me what they desire.

Total Outlay of the Second Five-Year Plan for the new Mysore State

Q.—64. Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY (Malur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the outlay of the Second Five-Year Plan as envisaged for the old Mysore State ;

(*b*) whether the same has been revised in view of the addition of the merged areas to the State ;

(*c*) the total outlay now for the enlarged State ?

A.—Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) The total plan outlay was Rs. 80·61 crores.

(*b*) Yes. With the reorganisation of States and integration of areas, the same has been revised.

(*c*) The outlay for the new Mysore State is about Rs. 151 crores.

The Outlay of the Second Five-Year Plan.

Q.—195. Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY (Malur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the total outlay of the Second Five-Year Plan for the New Mysore ;